

## Simulations of black holes in compactified spacetimes

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2011 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 314 012103

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/314/1/012103>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 193.137.168.172

The article was downloaded on 26/09/2011 at 09:05

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Simulations of black holes in compactified spacetimes

Miguel Zilhão<sup>1</sup>, Vitor Cardoso<sup>2,3</sup>, Leonardo Gualtieri<sup>4</sup>,  
Carlos Herdeiro<sup>1,5</sup>, Andrea Nerozzi<sup>2</sup>, Ulrich Sperhake<sup>2,6,7</sup> and  
Helvi Witek<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Física do Porto, Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677-1848, USA

<sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma “Sapienza” & Sezione INFN Roma1, P.A. Moro 5, 00185, Roma, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Departamento de Física da Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal

<sup>6</sup> Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (CSIC-IEEC), Facultat de Ciències, Campus UAB, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

<sup>7</sup> California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

E-mail: mzilhao@fc.up.pt

**Abstract.** From the gauge/gravity duality to braneworld scenarios, black holes in compactified spacetimes play an important role in fundamental physics. Our current understanding of black hole solutions and their dynamics in such spacetimes is rather poor because analytical tools are capable of handling a limited class of idealized scenarios, only. Breakthroughs in numerical relativity in recent years, however, have opened up the study of such spacetimes to a computational treatment which facilitates accurate studies of a wider class of configurations. We here report on recent efforts of our group to perform numerical simulations of black holes in cylindrical spacetimes.

## 1. Introduction

Since the 2005 breakthroughs [1–3], the field of numerical relativity has seen remarkable progress. Major achievements range from the discovery of new phenomena—such as black holes (BHs) *kicks* [4–6] and zoom-whirl behaviour [7]—to simulations of high energy collisions of BHs [8–10].

A very interesting research direction, which has recently seen some pioneering works, concerns extending this field to the study of higher-dimensional systems [11–18].

In this work, we wish to study how the compactness of extra dimensions changes the dynamics of such higher-dimensional gravity scenarios. There is considerable literature on Kaluza-Klein BHs and BHs on cylinders [19–22], but, to the best of our knowledge, the full non-linear dynamics of BHs on such spacetimes remains unexplored.

## 2. Setup

For a five dimensional cylindrical Minkowski spacetime,  $\mathbb{M}^{1,3} \times S^1$ , the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = \underbrace{-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + y^2 d\phi^2}_{\mathbb{M}^{1,3}} + \underbrace{dz^2}_{S^1}. \quad (1)$$

The  $S^1$  direction is parameterised by  $z$ , which takes values in the interval  $[-L, L]$ , with the two endpoints identified and  $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The coordinate  $\phi$  also parameterises a circle, which is part of  $\mathbb{M}^{1,3}$ .

Following [15] we take our five dimensional metric ansatz to be

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \lambda(x^\mu) d\phi^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $x^\mu = (t, x, y, z)$ . We perform a dimensional reduction by isometry on  $\partial_\phi$  and end up with a four dimensional model of gravity coupled to a scalar field. Performing the standard  $3 + 1$  decomposition, the evolution equations of the resulting system are

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) \gamma_{ij} &= -2\alpha K_{ij} \\ (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) K_{ij} &= -D_i \partial_j \alpha + \alpha \left( {}^{(3)}R_{ij} + K K_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K^k_j \right) \\ &\quad - \alpha \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left( D_i \partial_j \lambda - 2K_{ij} K_\lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \partial_i \lambda \partial_j \lambda \right) \\ (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) \lambda &= -2\alpha K_\lambda \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) K_\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \partial^i \lambda \partial_i \alpha + K K_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} K_\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \partial^i \lambda \partial_i \lambda - \frac{1}{2} D^k \partial_k \lambda \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For further details and numerical implementation see [15, 23]. We here use periodic boundary conditions along the  $z$  direction and Sommerfeld radiative boundary conditions along  $x$  and  $y$ .

## 3. Initial data

Following [15, 24], the four-dimensional Brill-Lindquist initial data appropriate to describe non-spinning, non-rotating BHs momentarily at rest, take the form

$$\gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \psi^2 [dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2], \quad \lambda = y^2 \psi^2, \quad K_{ij} = 0 = K_\lambda.$$

In a spacetime with standard topology (wherein  $z$  parameterises a line), the initial data for two BHs with horizon radius  $r_S^{1,2}$  and punctures placed at  $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, \pm a)$ , takes the form

$$\psi = 1 + \frac{(r_S^1)^2}{4[x^2 + y^2 + (z - a)^2]} + \frac{(r_S^2)^2}{4[x^2 + y^2 + (z + a)^2]}. \quad (4)$$

### 3.1. Head on collision of BHs

The appropriate initial data to describe a BH in  $S^1$  can be viewed as having an infinite array of BHs, all with the same mass, separated by coordinate distance  $\Delta z = 2L$ . Since the superposition of various BHs in a line is described by adding up the corresponding initial data, for the infinite array of two BHs in the circle located at  $z = \pm a$  ( $0 < a < L$ ) with horizon radii  $r_S^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (or, equivalently, for two BHs in  $S^1$ ) the initial data is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= 1 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(r_S^1)^2}{4[x^2 + y^2 + (z - a - 2Ln)^2]} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(r_S^2)^2}{4[x^2 + y^2 + (z + a - 2Ln)^2]} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\pi (r_S^1)^2}{8L\rho} \frac{\sinh \frac{\pi\rho}{L}}{\cosh \frac{\pi\rho}{L} - \cos \frac{\pi(z-a)}{L}} + \frac{\pi (r_S^2)^2}{8L\rho} \frac{\sinh \frac{\pi\rho}{L}}{\cosh \frac{\pi\rho}{L} - \cos \frac{\pi(z+a)}{L}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\rho^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2$  and in the last equality we have used the result in [21].


## 4. Results

We will now show some results obtained for a head-on collision (from rest) of BHs with an initial separation of  $10.37 r_S$ , i.e.,  $a = 5.185 r_S$ . The  $z$  ( $z \in [-L, L]$ ) coordinate has been compactified with  $L/r_S = 64, 32, 16$ . For comparison purposes, we have also performed a simulation with “standard” outgoing boundary conditions ( $L \rightarrow \infty$ ), which will be here referred to as “outgoing”.

All results will be presented in units of the Schwarzschild radius  $r_S = r_{S,1} + r_{S,2}$ .

### 4.1. Hamiltonian constraint


Figure 1 shows the Hamiltonian constraint along the  $x$  and  $z$  axis, respectively, for several time steps for the  $L = 32$  case. As we can see, the constraint is indeed being satisfied with high accuracy.



**Figure 1.** Hamiltonian constraint along the  $x$  and  $z$  axis, for the  $L = 32$  case.

### 4.2. Collision time

Next, we studied the changes in collision time for different compactification radii. Whereas we don’t observe any (noticeable) difference for  $L = 64$  and  $L = 32$  as compared to the outgoing case, the case  $L = 16$  shows already a noticeable difference. The puncture trajectories for these cases are plotted in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Puncture  $z$  coordinate as function of time for the outgoing and  $L = 16$  cases.

Recall that one can think of a BH in a cylindrical space as an array of infinite BHs. Therefore, for a head-on collision on such a cylindrical space, each BH will also feel the gravitational pull of all the other BHs. Naïvely, one thus expects that for this cylindrical case it will take longer for the BHs to collide, which is what we observe in Figure 2.

## 5. Final remarks

Using the formalism introduced in [15], we were able to reduce the head-on collision of (non-spinning) BHs on cylindrical spacetimes (in any dimension) to an effective  $3 + 1$  system with a scalar field, and used this procedure to successfully evolve a head-on collision of two BHs on a five-dimensional cylindrical spacetime.

Further issues that we wish to investigate include monitoring the deformation of the BHs' apparent horizon and computing the energy radiated (along the lines of [17]). We further plan to perform simulations with smaller compactification radii and the equivalent six-dimensional system.

## Acknowledgments

M.Z. and H.W. are funded by FCT through grants SFRH/BD/43558/2008 and SFRH/BD/46061/2008. U.S. acknowledges support from the Ramón y Cajal Programme of the Ministry of Education and Science of Spain, NSF grants PHY-0601459, PHY-0652995 and the Fairchild Foundation to Caltech. This work was supported by the *DyBHo-256667* ERC Starting Grant and by FCT - Portugal through projects PTDC/FIS/098025/2008, PTDC/FIS/098032/2008, PTDC/CTE-AST/098034/2008, CERN/FP/109306/2009, CERN/FP/109290/2009 as well as NSF grant PHY-0900735. This research was supported by an allocation through the TeraGrid Advanced Support Program under grant PHY-090003 and an allocation by the Centro de Supercomputación de Galicia (CESGA) under project ICTS-2009-40. Computations were performed on the TeraGrid cluster NICS Kraken, the Milipeia cluster in Coimbra, Magerit in Madrid, the Barcelona Supercomputing Centre—Centro Nacional de Supercomputación, Finis Terrae and LRZ in Munich.

## References

- [1] Pretorius F 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 121101
- [2] Campanelli M, Lousto C O, Marronetti P and Zlochower Y 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 111101
- [3] Baker J G, Centrella J, Choi D I, Koppitz M and van Meter J 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 111102
- [4] Gonzalez J A, Sperhake U, Bruegmann B, Hannam M and Husa S 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 091101
- [5] González J A, Hannam M D, Sperhake U, Brüggmann B and Husa S 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 231101
- [6] Campanelli M, Lousto C O, Zlochower Y and Merritt D 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 231102
- [7] Pretorius F and Khurana D 2007 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** S83–S108
- [8] Sperhake U, Cardoso V, Pretorius F, Berti E and Gonzalez J A 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 161101
- [9] Sperhake U *et al.* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** 131102
- [10] Shibata M, Okawa H and Yamamoto T 2008 *Phys. Rev.* **D78** 101501
- [11] Choptuik M, Lehner L, Olabarrieta I, Petryk R, Pretorius F and Villegas H 2003 *Phys. Rev.* **D68** 044001
- [12] Sorkin E and Choptuik M W 2009 (*Preprint* 0908.2500)
- [13] Sorkin E 2009 (*Preprint* 0911.2011)
- [14] Yoshino H and Shibata M 2009 *Phys. Rev.* **D80** 084025
- [15] Zilhao M *et al.* 2010 *Phys. Rev.* **D81** 084052
- [16] Witek H, Cardoso V, Herdeiro C, Nerozzi A, Sperhake U *et al.* 2010 *Phys. Rev.* **D82** 104037
- [17] Witek H *et al.* 2010 *Phys. Rev.* **D82** 104014
- [18] Witek H, Cardoso V, Gualtieri L, Herdeiro C, Sperhake U *et al.* 2010
- [19] Korotkin D and Nicolai H 1994 (*Preprint* gr-qc/9403029)
- [20] Frolov A V and Frolov V P 2003 *Phys. Rev.* **D67** 124025
- [21] Myers R C 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **D35** 455
- [22] Harmark T and Obers N A 2005 (*Preprint* hep-th/0503020)
- [23] Sperhake U 2007 *Phys. Rev.* **D76** 104015
- [24] Yoshino H, Shiromizu T and Shibata M 2005 *Phys. Rev.* **D72** 084020